## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

RUSSELL S. SMITH,

No. 1:18-cv-13363-GCS-PTM

Plaintiff,

Honorable Judge George Caram

Steeh

v.

Honorable Magistrate Patricia T. Morris

CADILLAC ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE MANAGEMENT, INC,

Defendant.

# PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Russel S. Smith ("Plaintiff"), by and through his counsel, Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd., and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), respectfully bringing this Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint, and in support thereof, states as follows:

- 1. On October 27, 2018, Plaintiff filed his complaint seeking redress for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") and the Michigan Occupational Code ("MOC"). [Dkt. #1].
- 2. Through conversations with Defendant's counsel, certain documentation was informally produced in connection with this matter.

- 3. Based on a review of that documentation, it became clear that there are additional violations of law which were not included in Plaintiff's original complaint.
- 4. Given these violations, Plaintiff's proposed Amended Complaint seeks to add claims against Defendant under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") so as to encompass the entirety of Defendant's potential liability to Plaintiff.
- 5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 15(a)(2), a court should freely give a party leave to amend its pleading when justice requires. *Indep. Trust Corp. v. Steward Info. Servs. Corp.*, 665 F.3d 930, 943 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012).
- 6. "Rule 15(a) declares that leave to amend 'shall be freely given when justice so requires'; this mandate is to be heeded. If the underlying facts or circumstances relied upon by a plaintiff may be a proper subject of relief, he ought to be afforded an opportunity to test his claim on the merits." *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).
- 7. Justice would be served if Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his Complaint, as it would allow Plaintiff the opportunity to seek relief for the invasions of his federally protected interests engaged in by Defendant.
- 8. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave is not brought for dilatory reasons or as the result of undue delay. This is Plaintiff's first request to amend his complaint, and the

amendment is designed to allow Plaintiff to adjudicate the full extent of his claims stemming from the facts serving as the basis for the instant cause of action.

9. Pursuant to E.D. Mich. L.R. 7.1, Plaintiff's counsel conferred with Defendant's counsel during which Plaintiff's counsel explained the nature of the instant motion and its legal basis. A concurrence regarding the relief sought was not obtained.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter an order granting Plaintiff leave to file his First Amended Complaint.

Dated: February 19, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ Nathan C. Volheim

Nathan C. Volheim, Esq. # 6302103 Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. 2500 S. Highland Avenue, Suite 200 Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 575-8181 x113 Fax: (630) 575-8188 nvolheim@sulaimanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 19, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan by using the CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

#### /s/ Nathan C. Volheim

Nathan C. Volheim Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. 2500 S. Highland Avenue, Suite 200 Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 575-8181 x113 Fax: (630) 575-8188 nvolheim@sulaimanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff